

**PII 0091-3057(96)00123-2**

# Effects of Tetrabenazine on Methamphetamine-Induced Hyperactivity in Mice are Dependent on Order and Time-Course of Administration

# HISASHI KURIBARA

*Department of Neurobiology and Behavior, Behavior Research Institute, Gunma University School of Medicine, 3-39-22 Showa-machi, Maebashi 371, Japan*

# Received 16 May 1995; Revised 8 February 1996; Accepted 15 February 1996

KURIBARA, H. *Effects of tetrabenazine on methamphetamine-induced hyperactivity in mice are dependent on order and time-course of administration.* PHARMACOL BIOCHEM BEHAV **56**(1) 9–14, 1997.—The ambulation-increasing effect of methamphetamine (MAP: 2 mg/kg SC) in mice persisted for about 3 h. Tetrabenazine (TBZ: 4 mg/kg SC), a depleter of monoamines from the cytoplasmic pool did not increase ambulation on its own. Pretreatment with TBZ at 1.5 h before administration of MAP inhibited the stimulant effect of MAP. In contrast, combined administration of two drugs resulted in a transient but considerable enhancement of MAPs stimulant effect. Post-MAP treatment with TBZ at 0.5–2 h hardly modified MAPs behavioral effects. In contrast, 3–6 h post-MAP treatment with TBZ induced a transient increase in activity, although the stimulant effect of MAP had already disappeared. The maximum increase in ambulatory stimulation was produced by 4-h post-MAP treatment with TBZ. The inhibitory effect of TBZ pretreatment on MAP-induced hyperactivity, as well as the transient hyperactivity elicited by TBZ when administered along with MAP, or 4 h after MAP, was dosedependent. Preliminary studies revealed that transient hyperactivity was never produced by combination of GBR-12909 (a selective dopamine reuptake inhibitor) with TBZ or MAP with oxypertine (a selective norepinephrine releaser/depleter), but produced by combination of nialamide (a monoamine oxidase inhibitor) with TBZ. Inhibition of MAPs effects by TBZ pretreatment suggests that enhancement of dopamine release from cytoplasmic pool, and inhibition of dopamine reuptake by MAP, are involved in MAPs acute behavioral effects. Further, the fact that neither TBZ administration following GBR-12909 pretreatment, nor oxypertine treatment following MAP pretreatment, elicited transient hyperactivity suggests that dopamine is involved in hyperactivity elicited by post-MAP treatment with TBZ. It is also suggested that inhibition of monoamine oxidase (MAO) by MAP and dopamine displacement by TBZ may be responsible for the transient stimulation produced by 3–6 h post-MAP treatment with TBZ. It is hypothesized that the MAO inhibitory action of MAP persists after cessation of its acute stimulant effect, possibly up to 6 h after administration. **Copyright 1997 Elsevier Science Inc.**



THE CNS stimulant effect of amphetamines is caused by their Administration of a MAO-inhibitor (e.g., nialamide) alone facilitation of catecholaminergic (mainly dopaminergic) neu-<br>to rodents induces little behavioral excita facilitation of catecholaminergic (mainly dopaminergic) neu-rotransmission. Thus, amphetamines enhance catecholamine release from the cytoplasmic (releasable) pool and inhibit ministration of tetrabenazine (TBZ) (7), a drug which disuptake of catecholamine at presynaptic nerve terminals (6). In places monoamines from the "cytoplasmic pool," is followed addition to such actions, it is also suggested that amphetamines by a transient behavioral excitation (1). Such behavioral excihave inhibitory action on monoamine oxidase (MAO) (4,8). tation can be explained as follows: In absence of a MAO-<br>Since the role of MAO inhibition in psychopharmacological inhibitor, displaced dopamine from the cytoplasmic Since the role of MAO inhibition in psychopharmacological inhibitor, displaced dopamine from the cytoplasmic pool was effects of the amphetamines is thought to be less pronounced rapidly oxidized by MAO, thus preventing be effects of the amphetamines is thought to be less pronounced rapidly oxidized by MAO, thus preventing behavioral excita-<br>than amphetamine-induced catecholamine release and reup-<br>tion. In this case TBZ-induced depletion of take blockade behavioral studies on MAO inhibition induced results in neuroleptic effect (including sedative and anti-am-

rodents have been pretreated with a MAO-inhibitor, the adtion. In this case TBZ-induced depletion of pooled dopamine by amphetamines has not been conducted. phetamine effects). In contrast, in the presence of a MAO- inhibitor, the oxidation of released dopamine is delayed, re- or TBZ (4 mg/kg), and then given saline or MAP (2 mg/kg) sulting in increased levels of synaptic dopamine, and transient at 2, 1, or 0.5 h after the pretreatment. In addition, another

and MAO-inhibitors, and the monoamine-depleting proper-<br>ties of TBZ, the followings can be hypothesized to result from the administration of saline or MAP. ties of TBZ, the followings can be hypothesized to result from the interaction between MAP and TBZ: *Experiment 2.* Administrations of MAP, and then TBZ.

- 
- 

stimulant effect of MAP by pretreatment or posttreatment MAP pretreatment. The other two groups of mice were given<br>with TBZ was evaluated in terms of ambulation in mice MAP (2 mg/kg), and then 4-h post-MAP treatment with T with TBZ was evaluated in terms of ambulation in mice.

Male mice of the dd strain (Institute of Experimental Animalamide (20 mg/kg) or GBR-12909 (10 mg/kg). Four hours<br>mal Research, Gunma University School of Medicine, Mae-<br>bashi, Japan) were used at 6 weeks of age and at a w access to a solid diet (MF; Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, Japan) and tap water. Conditions of the breeding room were well controlled (temperature;  $23^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ , relative humidity; 55  $\pm$ 3%, and a 14L : 10D cycle; lights on at 0500–1900 h).

All experiments were conducted according to TheJapanese Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

### *Apparatus*

Ambulation of mice was measured with a tilting-type ambulometer having 10 bucket-like Plexiglas activity cages of 20 cm in diameter (SMA-10: O'Hara & Co., Tokyo, Japan). This ambulometer recorded horizontal movements (ambulation), but not any vertical movements, of mice.

# *Drugs*

The drugs used were methamphetamine HCl (MAP; Dainippon Pharm., Osaka, Japan), tetrabenazine HCl (TBZ; Pfizer Taito, Tokyo, Japan), oxypertine (Daiichi Pharm., Tokyo), GBR-12909 (Nippon Chemiphar, Tokyo), and nialamide HCl (ICN Pharm., Amsterdam). These drugs except for oxypertine were dissolved or in physiological saline, and administered subcutaneously. Oxypertine was suspended in physiological saline, and administered intraperitoneally. The volume administered was fixed to 0.1 ml/10 g body weight of the mouse. The dose of MAP was constant at 2 mg/kg, which was considered to be optimum for increasing the ambulation of mice without eliciting strong stereotypy (3).

# *Experimental Procedures*

Four sets of three groups of mice were pretreated with saline

behavioral excitation.<br>According the abovementioned interactions between TBZ kg), and then given MAP at 0.5 h after the pretreatment. kg), and then given  $\text{MAP}$  at 0.5 h after the pretreatment.

1. Pretreatment with TBZ will inhibit the stimulant effect of<br>
amphetamines due to depletion of catecholamines from<br>
the cytoplasmic pool;<br>
2. If amphetamines have an inhibitory action on MAO, post-<br>
2. If amphetamines ha

To test these predictions, modification of the behavioral mg/kg) at either  $0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ , or 7 h after the saline or nullant effect of MAP by pretreatment or positive method. MAP pretreatment. The other two grou (1 and 2 mg/kg). Ambulation was measured for 0.5 h after

METHOD **the second treatment.** *Experiment 3*. The other drug combinations. Three sets of *Animals* two groups of mice were pretreated with MAP (2 mg/kg),



Groups of 10 mice each were used in all experiments men-<br>tioned below. The drug treatments and behavioral tests were<br>carried out between 0900–1600 h.<br>Experiment 1. Administrations of TBZ, and then MAP. the administration the administration of saline or methamphetamine.  $p < 0.05$  vs. the saline-pretreated group.  $N = 10$  in each group.

alone or saline as dose = 0), and time-points (intervals separat-<br>ing the treatments: 3 levels in Experiment 1, and 9 levels in<br>Experiment 2 including combined administration of two drugs<br>as interval = 0). Further, one-wa by paired *<sup>t</sup>*-test or Dunnett's test. In Experiment 3, paired *Experiment 2 <sup>t</sup>*-test was conducted. Values of *<sup>p</sup>* less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The ambulatory activity associated with post-MAP (or sa-

or MAP in mice pretreated with saline or TBZ was significantly  $[F(1,324) = 43.8, p < 0.001]$ , MAP pretreatment X time-point influenced by MAP treatment  $[F(1,108) = 315.8, p < 0.001]$ , interactions  $[F(8,324) = 92.7, p < 0.001]$ , TBZ treatment X<br>TBZ pretreatment  $[F(1,108) = 89.2, p < 0.001]$  and the interval time-point interactions  $[F(8,324) = 12.7, p < 0.0$ TBZ pretreatment  $[F(1,108) = 89.2, p < 0.001]$  and the interval time-point interactions  $[F(8,324) = 12.7, p < 0.001]$ , and MAP separating the treatments  $[F(2,108) = 63.9, p < 0.001]$ . There pretreatment X TBZ treatment X time-poin separating the treatments  $[F(2,108) = 63.9, p < 0.001]$ . There pretreatment X TBZ treatment X time-point interactions were significant pretreatment X time-point  $[F(2,108) = 17.1]$ .  $[F(8,324) = 8.3, p < 0.001]$ . As shown in upper were significant pretreatment X time-point  $[F(2,108) = 17.1,$   $[F(8,324) = 8.3, p < 0.001]$ . As shown in upper panel of  $p < 0.001$  and pretreatment X treatment X time-point Fig. 3, postsaline treatment with saline or TBZ did n  $p < 0.001$  and pretreatment X treatment X time-point Fig. 3, postsaline treatment with saline or TBZ did not alter  $[F(2.108) = 6.0, p < 0.001]$  interactions. However, treatment X ambulatory activity, and the activity counts  $[F(2,108) = 6.0, p < 0.001]$  interactions. However, treatment X ambulatory activity, and the activity counts were always less time-point  $[F(2,108) = 1.5]$  and pretreatment X treatment than 100. When compared with the activity time-point  $[F(2,108) = 1.5$ , ns] and pretreatment X treatment than 100. When compared with the activity counts following  $[F(1,108) = 0.9]$ , ns] interactions were not significant. As shown administration of MAP alone, combin [*F*(1,108) = 0.9, ns] interactions were not significant. As shown administration of MAP alone, combined treatment with MAP in upper panel of Figure 1, even though the pretreatment with and TBZ (at the "0 h" time-point) yi in upper panel of Figure 1, even though the pretreatment with and TBZ (at the "0 h" time-point) yielded increased activity<br>saline or TBZ followed by administration of saline elicited score. There was no significant effect saline or TBZ followed by administration of saline elicited score. There was no significant effect of post-MAP TBZ treat-<br>very low activity counts, 1- and 0.5-h pretreatment with TBZ ment at 0.5-2 h. However, post-MAP trea very low activity counts, 1- and 0.5-h pretreatment with TBZ further decreased activity counts as compared with saline pre-

*Statistical Analysis* treatment. As shown in lower panel of Figure 1, the activity The mean overall ambulatory activity counts for 0.5 h fol-<br>lowing the administration of saline or MAP (Experiment 1),<br>or posttreatment with saline or TBZ (Experiment 2), were<br>analyzed by two- or three-way analysis of vari

line) treatment with saline or TBZ was significantly dependent RESULTS on MAP pretreatment  $[F(1,324) = 509.3, p < 0.001]$ , TBZ<br>treatment  $[F(1,324) = 75.0, p < 0.001]$  and the interval separat-*Experiment 1* freatment  $[F(1,324) = 75.0, p < 0.001]$  and the interval separat-<br>ing the treatments  $[F(8,324) = 83.9, p < 0.001]$ . There were The ambulatory activity following administration of saline significant MAP pretreatment X TBZ treatment effects



 $p < 0.05$  vs. the saline-pretreated group. N = 10 in each group.



FIG. 3. Mean 0.5-h ambulatory activity counts (with SEM) following FIG. 2. Mean 0.5-h ambulatory activity counts (with SEM) after SC 0–7 h postsaline (SAL) treatment with saline or tetrabenazine (4 mg/ administration of methamphetamine (2 mg/kg) to the mice pretreated kg) (upper panel), and 0–7 h postmethamphetamine (MAP: 2 mg/kg) with tetrabenazine (TBZ: 1, 2, and 4 mg/kg SC) or saline (tetrabena-<br>with tetrabenazine (T treatment with saline or tetrabenazine (lower panel). $p < 0.05$  vs. the zine-dose = 0) at 0.5 h before the administration of methamphetamine. group given methamphetamine alone (interval  $N = 0$ ) or postmetham-<br>  $p < 0.05$  vs. the saline-pretreated group.  $N = 10$  in each group.<br>
hetamine treatme



methamphetamine alone.  $N = 10$  in each group. (Fig. 3, lower panel) was similar to the time course of change

 $3-6$  h, but not at 7 h, was followed by a transient  $(0.25-0.5 \text{ h})$  with saline injection did not alter the effect of MAP.<br>increase in the ambulatory activity. Post-MAP treatment with It has been reported that MAP elici saline at these time-points was never followed by increase

post-MAP treatments with TBZ, respectively, were dependent mines from the cytoplasmic pool (7). Such neurochemical<br>on TBZ-dose  $[F(3,36) = 12.8$  and 20.3, respectively,  $n \le 0.0011$ , properties of MAP and TBZ are supported on TBZ-dose  $[F(3,36) = 12.8$  and 20.3, respectively,  $p < 0.001$ . Thus, as shown in Figs. 4 and 5, the activity counts following findings that the ambulation-increasing effect of MAP was combines treatment with MAP and TBZ  $(1-4 \text{ mg/kg})$ , and significantly inhibited by 1–0.5 h pretreatmen combines treatment with MAP and TBZ  $(1-4 \text{ mg/kg})$ , and<br>those 4-h post-MAP treatment with TBZ  $(1-4 \text{ mg/kg})$  were Thus, at the time of MAP administration, releasable catecholasignificantly greater than the counts following MAP alone and mine from the cytoplasmic pool had already been depleted<br>post-MAP treatment with saline, respectively.<br>We pretreatment with TBZ. Nevertheless, 2-h pretreatment

tency and time course as those proced by MAP (2 mg/kg). course of its anti-avoidance action (5). Thus, the activity of mice returned to the predrugged level Displacement of catecholamine from the cytoplasmic pool not cause any increase in the ambulation. Neither post-MAP catecholamine. However, the administration of TBZ alone,



FIG. 5. Mean 0.5-h ambulatory activity counts (with SEM) immediately after the administration of tetrabenazine (TBZ: 0; saline, 1, 2, and 4 mg/kg) to the mice given methamphetamine (2 mg/kg SC) at 4 h before the administration.  $p < 0.05$  vs. the group given saline. N  $= 10$  in each group.

treatment withoxypertine nor post GBR-12909 treatment with TBZ did not produce transient increase in ambulation. In contrast, postnialamide treatment with TBZ was followed by prominent increase in the ambulation.

### DISCUSSION

FIG. 4. Mean 0.5-h ambulatory activity counts (with SEM) immedi-<br>ately after SC administration of methamphetamine (MAP: 2 mg/kg)<br>alone (dose of tetrabenazine (TBZ = 0), or MAP in combination<br>with tetrabenazine (1, 2, and of MAP followed by 0.5–7 h post-MAP treatment with saline in the activity obtained by continuous measurement after the administration of MAP (3), indicating that the cues associated with saline injection did not alter the effect of MAP.

increase in the ambulatory activity. Post-MAP treatment with It has been reported that MAP elicits CNS stimulant effect saline at these time-points was never followed by increase by accelerating cate cholamine (particularl from the cytoplasmic interneural pool, and inhibiting catechol- in ambulation. The enhancement of ambulation-increasing effect and the amine reuptake at presynaptic terminals (6). In contrast, TBZ transient increase in the ambulation caused by 0-h and 4-h displays a "neuroleptic-like" profile by depl displays a "neuroleptic-like" profile by depleting catecholaby pretreatment with TBZ. Nevertheless, 2-h pretreatment with TBZ did not inhibit the effect of MAP. This result may *Experiment 3* be caused by recovery of the cytoplasmic catecholamine pool within 2 h after the administration of TBZ. The duration of The results are presented in Table 1. GBR-12909 (10 mg/ anti-MAP action of TBZ (presumably, significant depletion kg) induced hyperactivity in mice with almost the same po- of the cytoplasmic catecholamine pool) is similar of the cytoplasmic catecholamine pool) is similar to the time

by 3 h after the dministration of GBR-12909. Nialamide did by TBZ may transiently increase the concentration of synaptic







\*: Activity counts following the second drug administration are presented. \*\*: The second drug administration was carried out 4 h after the first drug adminsitration. \$:  $p < 0.001$  vs. the control group given saline in the second administration.  $N = 10$  in each group.

or postsaline treatment with TBZ, never increased theambula- Neurochemical analyses were not carried out in this study. tory activity of mice. Since the released catecholamine is rap- However, preliminary experiments revealed that neither postidly metabolized by MAO, it could be explained that the MAP treatment with oxypertine (a selective norepinephrine amount of displaced catecholamine remaining unmetabolized releaser/depleter), nor administration of GBR-12909 (a dopa-<br>by MAO may not have been sufficient to elicit hyperactivity. mine reuptake inhibitor) followed by TBZ r by MAO may not have been sufficient to elicit hyperactivity. In contrast, the remarkable enhancement of MAPs stimulant sient behavioral excitation similar to that induced by post-<br>effect produced by combined administration of MAP with MAP or postnialamide treatment with TBZ. These p effect produced by combined administration of MAP with TBZ might be due to an additive effect of catecholamine nary data support the consideration that dopamine, rather release induced by MAP (6) and catecholamine displacement than norepinephrine, is involved in the interactio release induced by MAP (6) and catecholamine displacement than norepinephrine, is involved in the interaction between induced by TBZ (7). The fact that the enhancement of ambula- MAP and TBZ, and that MAO-inhibition is mai induced by TBZ (7). The fact that the enhancement of ambula-<br>tion-increasing effect of MAP was dependent on the doses of in the transient excitation following post-MAP treatment with tion-increasing effect of MAP was dependent on the doses of

fied the stimulant effect of MAP. Since MAP provokes considerable catecholamine release and behavioral excitation on its

The most interesting result demonstrated in this study was a able up to 10 h after administration in rats (2).<br>nsient (0.25–0.5 h), but a significant increase in ambulatory and a summary, the following may be derived from transient (0.25–0.5 h), but a significant, increase in ambulatory and the presults: contrast, the 3–6 h post-MAP treatment with saline was never a Pretreatment with saline was never a Pretreatment with saline was never a contrast, the 3–6 h post-MAP treatment with saline was never<br>followed by a significant increase in ambulation. As mentioned<br>above, the acute stimulant effect of MAP had already disappeared at those time-points. The prelimi suggest the possibility that the transient ambulatory stimulation caused by post-MAP treatment with TBZ was due to an 3. The presumed MAO-inhibitory effect of MAP persists for catecholamine displacement from cytoplasmic pool by TBZ. disappears with 3 h.

TBZ may support this consideration.<br>Post-MAP treatments with TBZ at 0.5–2 h scarcely modi-<br>gests that MAO-inhibitory action of MAP reaches a peak at Post-MAP treatments with TBZ at 0.5–2 h scarcely modi-<br>The stimulant effect of MAP, Since MAP provokes consid-<br>approximately 4 h, and persists for 6 h after administration. erable catecholamine release and behavioral excitation on its The acute stimulant effect of MAP disappears within 3 h.<br>
own, such actions of MAP may sufficient to mask the effect However, such a long-lasting property of MA However, such a long-lasting properties of TBZ-induced cate cholamine displacement. The most interesting result demonstrated in this study was a able up to 10 h after administration in rats (2).

- 
- 2. It is reasonable to hypothesize that the transient behavioral vealed that, when mice were pretreated with nialamide, a excitation observed when TBZ is administered after MAP<br>MAO-inhibitor, the administration of TBZ was followed by pretreatment is resulted from the delay of the oxidat MAO-inhibitor, the administration of TBZ was followed by pretreatment is resulted from the delay of the oxidation of a marked increase in ambulation for 0.66–0.5 h. These findings TBZ-displaced dopamine. This delay may be TBZ-displaced dopamine. This delay may be due to MAP-<br>induced MAO inhibition.
- interaction between the MAO-inhibitory action of MAP and 6 h after administration, although its acute stimulant effect

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Baldessarini, R. J. Drugs and the treatment of psychiatric disorders. 4. Innes, I. R.; Nickerson, M. Drugs acting on postganglionic adrener-<br>In: Gilman, A. G.; Rall, T. W.; Nies, A. S.; Taylor, P. eds. The gic nerve end
- 2. Caldwell, J.; Dring, L. G.; Williams, R. T. Metabolism of [<sup>14</sup>C]-<br>2. Caldwell, J.; Dring, L. G.; Williams, R. T. Metabolism of [<sup>14</sup>C]-<br>methamphetamine in man, the guinea pig and the rat. Biochem. methamphetamine in man, the guinea pig and the rat. Biochem. 5. Kuribara, H.; Tadokoro, S. Comparisons of susceptibilities to the effects of antipsychotic drugs on lever-press avoidance response
- 3. Hirabayashi, M.; Alam. M. R. Enhancing effect of methamphet-
- In: Gilman, A. G.; Rall, T. W.; Nies, A. S.; Taylor, P. eds. The gic nerve endings and structures innervated by them (sympathomi-<br>pharmacological basis of therapeutics, 8th edition. New York: Per-<br>metic drugs). In: Goodman metic drugs). In: Goodman, L. S.; Gilman, A. eds. The pharmacological basis of therapeutics, 4th edition. New York: Pergamon Press; 1970:478-523.
	- effects of antipsychotic drugs on lever-press avoidance response<br>between mice and rats. Jpn. J. Pharmacol. 33:1127–1133; 1983.
	- amine on ambulatory activity produced by repeated administration 6. McMillen, B. A. CNS stimulant: Two distinct mechanisms of action in mice. Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav. 15:925-932; 1981. for amphetamine-like drugs. Trends for amphetamine-like drugs. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 4:429-432; 1983.
- 7. Quinn, G.; Shore, P. A.; Brodie, B. B. Biochemical and pharmaco- 8. Zetterström, T.; Sharp, T.; Ungestedt, U. Further evaluation of 7. Quinn, G.; Shore, P. A.; Brodie, B. B. Biochemical and pharmacological studies of Ro 1–9569 (tetrabenazine), a nonindole tranquilizing agent with reserpine-like effects. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 127:103–109; 1959.
	- logicalstudies of Ro1–9569 (tetrabenazine), a nonindole tranquiliz- the mechanism by which amphetamine reduces striatal dopamine ing agent with reserpine-like effects. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. metabolism: A brain microdialysis study. Eur. J. Pharmacol.